

February 7, 1970

Dear Harold (cc: GRS),

Your mailings of 2/2, 3, and 5 received.

Tried to call Rush about the WDSU film, but no answer. If you still think he should be contacted again, try writing him at 115 New Montgomery, S.F. I don't have the time to try to get hold of him.

Your 1/29: I checked the CD indexes on O'Sullivan; negative except for CD 75. In this letter and others, you refer to CD 7.701 (which is CE 1814) where I think you mean CD 75.301, the withheld page on Ferrie which we have.

Copy of PM, p. 96, was not yet sent me. The rest of the Xeroxing is done.

On my 97-74 file requests: on checking, I find that the official position is that there was no NO FPCC activity in the summer of 1963. Literature associated with Reisman, La Violette, and perhaps others could easily have gotten in the FBI's file. Interesting, but we aren't going to get these items, and I hesitate to point out to Kleindeinst just how much we know about what is in their files and was kept from the Commission - in particular, the entire field office files. If and when we decide to push on those, we should of course add things such as the FPCC file items not relating to Oswald, but that should wait. If you want to ask for the N.O. and Dallas files and get an automatic refusal, it might be nice to have. It's up to you.

Met with Charlie Brown and others last night. She had stories about Mort's paranoia, etc. I guess I don't really suspect that Garrison is some sort of agent, but if I had been working for the CIA in 1966 and had to come up with something to take the heat off and distract the critics, I couldn't have done better than leak some good stuff (Ferrie) to Garrison, along with enough bad leads to keep him busy for years.

Enclosed CD's, requested in your 2/2: 165.16, 1203 (cl), and 2 copies of ~~194.12~~ 194.12, 1203a.1-2. In CD 1520, "Enclosures (2)" means two copies of the attached memo. This is standard procedure. It is a bit odd that the death certificate involved is neither enclosed or described. I guess it ~~is~~ is some sort of Texas document. In CD 75, the O'S interview on p. 491 which I ~~sent~~ sent you is not withheld; p. 320, which is withheld, is probably also an interview of him.

In your letter of 2/4 to Rhoads, you complain that they did not provide you ~~with~~ with lists of what has been ~~declassified~~ declassified, but that you have such material from others. If this refers to what I think it does, what I was sent was not a list of what had been declassified, but of what was withheld at the request of the FBI and other agencies. The same effect as what you describe, but not the identical document. As you know, they have promised me the results of forthcoming reviews.

Re your letter to ~~Rolapp~~ Rolapp: it is my impression that at ~~least~~ least some of the panel doctors kept some records. It might be good to write Bromley on this. He ~~might~~ might have ~~the~~ the panel's files.

If you do work on an article on the Ferrie files, I urge you to allow time for double-checking of all CD and index references (e.g., by us out here). I will ask JNS for the Double-Chek report.

Saw LBJ last night. When he talks about Dallas on May 2, he certainly won't be able to go on for 90 minutes without a few dozen lies. We should be prepared. ~~At~~ At the very least, we can offer our factual knowledge to any newsmen who are still interested in facts. Any other ideas?

Sincerely,

*Paul*